

Package ‘ivdesc’

July 22, 2025

Title Profiling Compliers and Non-Compliers for Instrumental Variable Analysis

Version 1.1.2

Description Estimating the mean and variance of a covariate for the complier, never-taker and always-taker subpopulation in the context of instrumental variable estimation. This package implements the method described in Marbach and Hangartner (2020) <[doi:10.1017/pan.2019.48](https://doi.org/10.1017/pan.2019.48)> and Hangartner, Marbach, Henckel, Maathuis, Kelz and Keele (2021) <[doi:10.48550/arXiv.2103.06328](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.06328)>.

Depends R (>= 3.4.0)

License GPL-3

URL <https://github.com/sumtxt/ivdesc/>

BugReports <https://github.com/sumtxt/ivdesc/issues>

Encoding UTF-8

LazyData true

RoxygenNote 7.3.2

Suggests haven

Imports knitr (>= 1.20.8), purrr (>= 0.2.5), rsample (>= 0.0.3)

NeedsCompilation no

Author Moritz Marbach [aut, cre] (ORCID:
<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7101-2821>>)

Maintainer Moritz Marbach <m.marbach@ucl.ac.uk>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2025-07-22 11:50:02 UTC

Contents

FoxDebate	2
ivdesc	3
Index	6

Description

The data set (n=507) contains findings from the experiment described in Albertson and Lawrence (2009) in which a representative sample of survey respondents in Orange County, California, were randomly assigned to receive encouragement to view a Fox debate on affirmative action, which would take place on the eve of the 1996 presidential election. Shortly after the election, these respondents were reinterviewed. The postelection questionnaire asked respondents whether they viewed the debate, whether they supported a California proposition (209) to eliminate affirmative action (support), and how informed they felt about the proposition (infopro). The dataset can be used to reproduce Table 2 in Aronow and Carnegie (2013). Note that mean imputation was used to handle missing data so non-integer values are imputed. support and infopro are excepted and include missing values.

Usage

FoxDebate

Format

A data frame with 507 observations on the following 12 variables:

partyid An 11 point scale from "strong Republican" to "strong Democrat".

pintst Respondent interest in politics and national affairs. Coded 1 = "very interested", 2 = "some-what interested", 3 = "only slightly interested", 4 = "not interested at all".

watchnat Frequency of national television news consumption. Coded 1 = "never", 2 = "less than once a month", 3 = "once a month", 4 = "several times a month", 5 = "once a week", 6 = "several times a week", 7 = "every day".

educad Education level of respondent. Coded 1 = "eighth grade or less", 2 = "beyond eighth grade, not high school", 3 = "ged", 4 = "high school", 5 = "less than one year vocational school", 6 = "one to two year vocational school", 7 = "two years or more vocational school", 8 = "less than two years of college", 9 = "two or more years of college", 10 = "finished a two-year college program", 11 = "finished a four-year college program", 12 = "master degree or equivalent", 13 = "ph.d., m.d., or other advance degree".

readnews How often respondent reads political news. Coded 1 = "never", 2 = "less than once a month", 3 = "once a month", 4 = "several times a month", 5 = "once a week", 6 = "several times a week", 7 = "every day".

gender Respondent gender. Coded 1 for female and 0 for male.

income Family income from all sources. Coded 1 = "under \$10,000", 2 = "between \$10,000 and \$20,000", 3 = "between \$20,000 and \$30,000", 4 = "between \$30,000 and \$40,000", 5 = "between \$40,000 and \$50,000", 6 = "between \$50,000 and \$60,000", 7 = "between \$60,000 and \$70,000", 8 = "between \$70,000 and \$80,000", 9 = "between \$80,000 and \$90,000", 10 = "between \$90,000 and \$100,000", 11 = "\$100,000 or more".

white Binary indicator coded 1 if subject is white and 0 otherwise.

support Support for Proposition 209. Coded 1 if subject voted against or opposed and 0 if subject voted for or favored

infopro Information on Proposition 209. Coded from 1 to 4, with 4 meaning respondents had a great deal of information about Proposition 209 prior to the election, and 1 meaning respondents reported no information about the proposition before the election.

watchpro Binary indicator coded 1 if subject watched the Fox Debate about affirmative action and 0 otherwise. This is the outcome ("treatment") of interest.

conditn Binary indicator coded 1 if subject was (randomly) prompted to watch the Fox Debate about affirmative action. This is the encouragement (instrumental) variable.

Details

This dataset data documentation has been copied from the archived R package *cicsw*.

References

Bethany Albertson and Adria Lawrence. (2009). After the credits roll: The long-term effects of educational television on public knowledge and attitudes. *American Politics Research*. 37(2): 275-300.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Political Analysis*. 21.4 (2013): 492-506.

Peter M. Aronow and Allison Carnegie. (2013). Replication data for: Beyond LATE: Estimation of the average treatment effect with an instrumental variable. *Dataverse Network*. <http://hdl.handle.net/1902.1/21729> (accessed May 14, 2015).

ivdesc	<i>Profiling compliers and non-compliers for instrumental variable analysis</i>
--------	---

Description

Estimates the mean and variance of a covariate for the complier, never-taker and always-taker sub-population.

Usage

```
ivdesc(
  X,
  D,
  Z,
  variance = FALSE,
  boot = TRUE,
  bootn = 1000,
  balance = TRUE,
  ...
)
```

Arguments

X	vector with numeric covariate
D	vector with binary treatment
Z	vector with binary instrument
variance	Calculate the variance of the covariate for each subgroup?
boot	Replace all standard errors with bootstrap standard errors?
bootn	number of bootstraps (ignored if boot=FALSE)
balance	Run balance test?
...	additional arguments to be passed to ivdesc_all

Details

This function estimates the mean and the associated standard error of X for the complier, never-taker and always-taker subpopulation within a sample where some, but not all, units are encouraged by instrument Z to take the treatment D. Observations with missing values in either X, D, or Z are dropped (listwise deletion).

One-sided noncompliance is supported. The mean for the always-/never-taker subpopulation will only be computed if there are at least two observed units in these subpopulations.

If boot=FALSE, standard errors based on asymptotic theory are estimated.

The balance test is a t-test allowing for unequal variances.

Value

Returns a object ivdesc with estimates for each subgroup (co: complier, nt: never-taker, at : always-taker) and the full sample:

- mu and mu_se : Mean of X and standard error
- pi and pi_se: Proportion of each subgroup in the sample and standard error
- var: Variance of X (if variance=TRUE)

Can be coerced to a proper data.frame using as.data.frame.

References

M. Marbach and D. Hangartner. 2020. Profiling Compliers and Non-compliers for Instrumental Variable Analysis. *Political Analysis*, 28(3), 435-444.

D. Hangartner, M. Marbach, L. Henckel, M. H. Maathuis, R. R. Kelz, and L. Keele. 2021. Profiling Compliers in Instrumental Variables Designs. Available at arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.06328>.

See Also

[ivreg](#)

Examples

```
# Example 1: Albertson/Lawrence (2009)
# see Marbach/Hangartner (2019) for details/discussion
data(FoxDebate)

with(FoxDebate, ivdesc(X=readnews,D=watchpro,Z=conditn) )

# Example 2: JTPA Data

library(haven)
jtpa <- read_dta("http://fmwww.bc.edu/repec/bocode/j/jtpa.dta")

with(jtpa, ivdesc(age, training, assignmt, bootn=500))
with(jtpa, ivdesc(hispanic, training, assignmt, boot=FALSE))
```

Index

* **datasets**

FoxDebate, [2](#)

FoxDebate, [2](#)

ivdesc, [3](#)

ivreg, [4](#)